

TERRIS R. JONES, SR.,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
vs.)	Case No.: 2:10-cv-01941-GMN-PAL
)	
LAS VEGAS VALLEY WATER)	ORDER
DISTRICT, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
)	

Defendants' motion is based upon the premise that both suits arise from a common set of operative facts and because both address similar legal issues. While consolidating the actions at the time the motion was filed would likely have served the interests of judicial economy without inconvenience, delay or prejudice to the parties, the status of the cases at this time does not support consolidation. The litigation in both cases has now been well-developed and discovery is near its end. Furthermore, Plaintiff has filed a notice of appeal in the instant case. (ECF No. 37.)

Accordingly,

Page 1 of 2

1 **without prejudice.**

2 **DATED** this 9th day of January, 2012.

3
4 

5 _____
6 Gloria M. Navarro
7 United States District Judge
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25